G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1895.

~~192~~ .

(L. R.)

File No. 109.

Serial Nos. 1–16.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 16.

In Part B for March 1902

SUBJECT.

Alienation of certain shares in the Vadyandhalli and Balekere Survamangam villages of the Srirangapatam Taluk

31/8.

Serial 1

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 953

Name of Petitioner. Ranga Rama Narasim

Village. Killa

Talak. Sirpoor

District. — Do —

Date of Arzi. 27-8-95

Date of Receipt. 30-8-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

Reminder.

ABSTRACT.

States that the villages of Vadiyadahally & Balleikere were given out as Sarvamanyam to his ancestors; that according to their manual the eldest son managed the Inam villages etc only the revenue was divided among the brothers, amongst the brothers; that the Inam were not enfranchised according to the Sanad; that the Chief Court 2nd Inam Rules have dismissed a suit brought according to that to the above conditions that one Yellappa has filed a suit against the other Inamdars

11-10-95

Unstamped —

Dy Comm's order not produced

Rep. had been referred to the Dy Com to whom the letters rec'd in 1891 were sent for information & needful —

Subd to the Rev. Secy

14/10

does not clear how the Dy Comm has dismissed the petitions referred to him for information & needful. A reference will be called for.

Called for Council 14/10/95

for the possession of a portion of the Inam
on the ground of hypothecation; that Yellappa
and the petitioner's sister-in-law, Venkatalakshmamma, have hypothecat
their share to the Sirsi Maratha Bank; and
that the hypothecations and the decision of
the civil Courts are against Inam Rules

Prays that the hypothecations may
be cancelled and that the ~~shade~~ hissedars
should be given their respective shares.

30/8.

(Rev. his. P. Proj. ... Serial 3)

(5)

1895.96 Gl. No. 92822 JUN. 08 DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 9th
Despatched } June 1896.
Received

Enclosures No. Three petitions + records.

No. 1469

Reporting on the petition of Ranga Rama Narasimhacha residing in the fort of Mysore

I understand that there has been a civil suit in connection with the management of the religious trust of the Sri Chamundeswari Temple at Mysore. The main issue between the parties is whether the one - the sole trustee who has been removed or the present trustee - the plaintiff in the suit - who has been appointed by Government is entitled to the management of the trust property. The petitioner calls his attention to it and asks for a further enquiry.

26.8.96

Dept. March
11/6
9/11/6
Subd with reference to the Rev. Councillor's order on Rep. No 955.
13/6 13/6 15/6 15/6/96

Draft Docket 15/6
two draft dockets submitted

Submitted with reference to the Revenue Councillor's order on the Mysore Dy Commr's letter No 1669 dated 9-6-96

1/12/96 7/12/96

Seen 7 Pm. 5/12/96.

Serial (41) 1894-97

GL. No. 3363 Pet 283

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 16th November 1896.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. three files

No. 831

Submitting further report on the petition of Ranga Rama Narasimha Char of Mysore.

I don't understand the subject which is very defective. We do not know any obligation for the recovery of such dues in an unfranchised estate which has been transferred. Let me know from settlement papers of this village whether title deeds with the deeds

27.1.97

Draft dated 28/1 of 29th Inst. 30-1-97

Rec'd 20.11.96
Ref as received this day and put up
Report 20/11/96

Pet[r] No. 953 of 1895-96

Pet[r] — Nunja Rama Narasimachar
Mysore

Subject - Prayer for the cancellation
of the alienation of
an enfranchised
Inam Village (Vadzandahalli & Ballakere)

Last reference — docket from the Inam
Supt forwarding settlement papers &c

The subject - matter of the above
pet[n] relating to Govt interests,
the facts of the case can be
related without reference
to the pet[n]

The Villages of Vadzandahalli
and Ballakere in the Serungapatam
taluk were granted to one
Vadamoor the Jaloo as Sarvamanya
by the late Krishna Raja Wadeyar
Bahadur in the year 1822.
At the Inam settlement in
1879, the Villages were
confirmed, on ½ quit-rent
at the request of the Inamdar &c
Powers of alienation were

expressly reserved and the
Supt ordered the issue of instructions
to the amildar to prevent
future alienations (para 6
of the Supt's review and confirmed)
In 1891, + later on in 1895, some
of the vrittidars complained that
one vrittidar had alienated his
vritti to Hosur Yellappa who
had bought the vritti at a court
sale under the decree
A report having been called
for, the Dy Commr stated that
Yellappa had stepped into the
place of one of the vrittidars
and was in receipt of a
proportionate income of the
villages but that no division
of the villages had taken place
To understand the tenure of
these villages, the Inam settle-
ment papers were called
for + received. Remarks
of the settlement officers have
been given above.
The questions for consideration
are
(1) Whether the alienation to

Ellappa should be treated as null & void, or (2) whether the proprietary right in that property which has passed to Ellappa should be resumed to Govt & fully the portion be fully assessed.

There seem to be ~~[illegible]~~ reasons & objections to the first course.

In Appeal suit No 90 of 1888 (page 177, Vol 11 Mysore Law Reports) this very question was discussed and the Chief Court refused to treat the alienation null & void on the following ground).

(a) That the alienation was made in 1874 before the Inam settlement (of 1879)

(b) That it was not provided in the Inam rules that an unenfranchised Inam was not liable to attachment or sequestration in satisfaction of any decree or order of any court

but the Chief Court took care
to affirm the validity of the
alienation, as between the
alienor & alienee and not
as against Govt
The Govt have framed the rule
having the force of law (Page 88
New Manual) for the summary
resumption & unauthorized
alienations & grants
to religious & charitable
institutions but these rules
do not seem to apply to
grants like the present
which were granted for personal
benefit. It is true in the
Summary of 1822 it is stated that
the villages were granted for
meeting the expenses of the
Agraharas &c but it is doubtful if
the conditions of such grants were
enforced.

No such objections can be advanced
against the course indicated in
question No (2)

Read by Hon
12/3/97.

Submitted to 2nd Counsellor
10/3/97

17.3.98

A further report was called for from the Dy Comr on 29.3.97 as per orders above of the 2nd Cr dated 17.3.97. (Serial No 19).

A petition was received in the meanwhile No 2369 dated 19.3.98 from Chicka Dyave gowda and others complaining of the oppression by the Inamdars and praying that the 2 villages in question may be taken under Govt management. This was sent to Dy Comr for disposal (Serial No 21 of the present file). The Dy Comr in reply reports in his letter No 226 dated 7.9.01, that the Inamdars are unable to keep their agents under control or to take the management into their own hands; that arrears to the extent of Rs 1069-9-10

X petition not forthcoming.

due to Govt on account of local cess to remain unpaid and that it would be a great hardship to proceed against the Inamdar's personal and other moveable properties. The Dy Collr therefore prays for sanction to take up the village under Govt management under Sections 168 to 169 of the Revenue Code.

With reference to the other question, the Dy Collr in his letter No 246 dated 11.9.01 reports that there is no necessity to go into the question of the levy of full assessments on the 1/4 th portion of the Inam village referred to, as one of the Inamdars Venkatalakshamma had since purchased the said portion alienated to

Gollapalle

24.9.01

One of the questions rqd to be
answered stating the
question stated by the Ld
Court for the order of Sale.
Whether the two Inam
Villages may be taken
under Govt Management
or whether the Govt Officer
& the Govt are all
in favour of this course

25/9/07

I see no objection
Order accordingly

D.A.

18/2

18/2/08

Offic Progs.
Part rep. Crl 20-2007
Offic. Instr. Part rep.
Crl 22-2007

(Note continued)

The order as above was issued (vide G.O. no: 1754 P.7-11-97 & 5.3.02), and the villages were accordingly brought under Govt. management in April 190:

The Dy Commr states in his letter no: 5-87 & dtd 16.4.04 that, since the attachment of the villages, the Govt. dues have been fully recovered, that a surplus of Rs 3372 is kept in the Rev deposits; that large arrears still remain uncollected and the accounts still unsettled and that the rivalry between the agents of the Inamdars has not as yet completely subsided.

In the meanwhile one of the shareholders is stated to have applied for the payment of the surplus Revenue referred to. The surplus receipts applied for are of previous years, and Sec 168 of the Land Rev. Code lays down that such amounts shall be

Rev by 3117

955

MYSORE ... OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 96302
DATE 30-8-78

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ
ಬಿ.ಹೊಸಹೊಳಲು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 13 ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಸುಮಾರು 1000 ಎಕರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಮಗ್ರ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಬಿ.ಹೊಸಹೊಳಲು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 13 ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 1000 ಎಕರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಮಗ್ರ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

1 ಈ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಹಿಂದಿನಿಂದಲೂ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸಣ್ಣ ಮತ್ತು ಅತಿ ಸಣ್ಣ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶ ದಿನಾಂಕ 3/4/22 ರಂತೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

2 ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಮಗ್ರ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವರು ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಪ್ರಭಾವಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿವೆ.

3 ಸ್ಥಳೀಯರಿಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ. ಸ್ಥಳೀಯರಿಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

4 ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವರು ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಪ್ರಭಾವಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿವೆ.

5 ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವರು ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಪ್ರಭಾವಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿವೆ.

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ
ಬಿ.ಹೊಸಹೊಳಲು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 13 ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಸುಮಾರು 1000 ಎಕರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಮಗ್ರ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಬಿ.ಹೊಸಹೊಳಲು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 13 ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 1000 ಎಕರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಮಗ್ರ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

1 ಈ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಹಿಂದಿನಿಂದಲೂ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸಣ್ಣ ಮತ್ತು ಅತಿ ಸಣ್ಣ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶ ದಿನಾಂಕ 3/4/22 ರಂತೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

2 ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಮಗ್ರ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವರು ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಪ್ರಭಾವಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿವೆ.

3 ಸ್ಥಳೀಯರಿಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ. ಸ್ಥಳೀಯರಿಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

4 ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವರು ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಪ್ರಭಾವಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿವೆ.

5 ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವರು ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಪ್ರಭಾವಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿವೆ.

27 ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್
1895

KRISHNARAJA WOODAYAR.

Niroop issued to Vencataramaniah, Fouzdar of Ashtagram, dated Wednesday the 12 th M. I. of Chytra of Chitrabhanoo.

The two villages of Kodaloor and Doddaroyapetta having been given to one Sreenevasachar, resident of a village on the Andaly river as Survamoniem in the year Siddarthee. they were in his enjoyment. They were subsequently attached for some reason or other. When the Sirkar had been to witness the "Samayaga" (a ceremony in which offerings and oblations are presented) which the Sirkar was getting performed by this Achary's son Sreedharacharry, Vadamoortee (Sreenevasochar) represented to the Hoozoor that the said Survamoniem villages had been attached, that a Survamoniem village should be given him to meet the expenses of two Agnihotras (maintenance of a sacred fire) performed by him and by his son, cost of feeding people, &c., and that he would perform both "Agnihotras," feed people, undertake teaching and engage himself in good acts and pray for the prosperity of the Sirkar. For this reason, and also for the reason that the 2 villages of Kodaloor and Doddaroyapett have been transferred to Chamarajanagar which belongs to the "Manawartay" (household) of "Chirunjeeveegaloo," the undermentioned villages are granted in lieu of the said villages. The villages are:—1. Vadayandahully village, Arsekere hobly, in Putna Ashtagram Talook with one "Asali" and two "Thakla" villages. Its 'Buriz' is 1002 kunteroy pagodas and 7 fanams. Out of this, the Jaree Inamaty is 7 pagodas and 3 fanams; the net Buriz is 995 pagodas and 4-1/16 fanams. Deducting from this 267 pagodas and 5-14/16 fanams on account of loss in future cultivation and decrease in the prices of articles, the Sagoovalee or proceeds form cultivation for the year Vishoo is 727 pagodas and 8 3/16 fanams. 1 Balakere village. Buriz 229 pagodas and 9¼ fanams. Out of this the Jaree Inamaty is 11 fanams; net Buriz is 228 pagodas and 8¼ fanams. Deducting from this 3 pagodas and 6⅝ fanams on account of loss on future cultivation, the Sagoovalee or proceeds from cultivation for the year Vishu is 225 pagodas and 1⅝ fanams. In all 952 pagodas and 9 13/16 fanams. Besides this the sawyer and professional taxes, &c., of these 2 villages amount to 47 pagodas and 1-3/16 fanams. In all 1,000 pagodas and 1 fanam according to Sagoovalee (cultivation). As these two villages are given to Vadamoorthegaloo as Survamoniem, possession of these villages should be given to him; and it should be proved what arrears are actually due up to the end of the year Vishoo and what for the former years, and the full amount recovered. The limits of these villages should be defined by stones with an inscription Vamanamoodra. Vadamoorthe Sreenivasachar residing in a village on the Audally river can increase the produce or income of any land within these limits to any reasonable extent and enjoy the same (villages) happily from generation to generation and as long as the sun and the moon are in existence and pray for our prosperity. The channel work as far as the limits of the village should be caused to be executed by the villagers themselves for irrigating the crops. He should be allowed to enjoy the villages uninterruptedly from this year Chitrabhanoo as Survamoniem without caring for a fresh sunnud every year. A copy of this sunnud should be made and the same filed in the Talook Sheristadar's Dufter and the original returned to Vadamoorthegaloo.

(Signed) PRASAMIAH,

Munshee Hoozoor.

Dated 3rd April, 1822.

These two villages having an annual Bariz of 1,000 pagodas and 1 fanam should be continued to him from generation to generation as Survamoniem.

(Signed,) SREEKRISHNA.

A ASALI CASE.

1. A complete grant Valid.

2. In the sannud quoted in column II, it is stated that the grant was asked for to defray the expenses of "Obhaya Aganihotra" a certain ceremony and "Sunterpany" feeding Brahmans, but the Maharaja in granting these villages no such condition had imposed on the grantee. However the grantee has alienated a considerable portion of Inam to certain Brahmans to form an Agrahar by which the charitable object seems to have been fulfilled. Originally the Agrahar existed at this village, but it ceased to do so for these 9 years, owing to the unhealthiness of the locality, and most of the Agrahardars are now residents of the Srerungapatam. The document of the original grantee insisted on the Agrahardars to reside in the original Agrahar, but they are unwilling to do so and state that they would form an Agrahar at Srerungapatam if permitted. The present Yajman has agreed to their proposal under the condition that he would assign a plot of land for the Agrahar, but if the Agrahardars are not willing to build an Agrahar therein, they may select any spot for the purpose at their own cost. This is quite equitable, and can be done. The object of the Yejaman is to perpetuate the Agrahar so long as his family is in existence, and with that view states that the Agrahardars may be restricted not to alienate the Agrahar Vritties to others. So that I can reply that no such restriction is necessary which if placed, will do more harm than good. The Agrahardars may alienate on the other hand their Vritees whenever it is convenient to them, but with a condition that the purchaser should reside in Agrahar. This condition will perpetuate the object for which the grant was made by the Maharaja, as well as the ancestors of the present Yejaman. If these views are approved of by the Inam Superintendent instructions will be issued to the Agrahardars accordingly.

This grant is subject to all Local cesses which may be imposed from time to time by order of the Local Government.

A valuation Survey is wanted.

Sayer and Sande Revenues are allowed by the Sannud but no mention was made therein of Abkary and Sandal which items are reserved.

TIRUMALAI RUNGACHAR and RAMA RAO have obtained 6 and 12 Pagodas worth of Inams respectively in this village by the Yejaman in 1878 and 1879. But as these alienations have taken place subsequent to the promulgation of the Inam Rules could not be recognized.

Vritte No. 1, as per account of 1838 is said to be in possession of the Yejaman, who says he will build the temple and continue it when the Agrahar is built at Srerungapatam. The following Vrittees have however reverted to the grantee on extinction of the families of the Agrahardars.

Vritti Numbers 7, 8, 14, 19, 22, 23, 25, 28, 31 and 32.

To be confirmed free of Tax having been declined to enfranchise the grant.

NAME OF THE VILLAGE.	Extent.			Assessment.			Jodi.	Whether Jary or not.	Proportionate quiet-rent.	Case Number.	Register Number.	REMARKS.
A. Vadeyandhalli, S. M...	0	0	0	3118	8	8	0	Jary.	0	1	109	
B. Ballekere, S. M.... ...	0	0	0	763	3	5	0	Do.	0	1	110	
TOTAL...	0	0	0	3881	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	

A and B are to be confirmed free. I. T. D., which is to be given to No. 1, in column 15.

The holders of the village, it appears pay Rupees 70 Annually to one VYASA RAO who has married their Sister, out of the revenue of this village from 1865, his name has been registered as per their request.

(Signed) SYED NASERUDEEN,

Assistant Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

CAMP MYSORE,
19th September, 1879.

NOTE.

A. Independent Inams ... 3. *Viz.*
1. Devadaya ... ... 1.
2. Personal ... ... 1.
3. Checkkur ... ... 1.

B. Items enjoyed.
1. Land Revenue.
2. Mohaturfa.
3. A Marayi.
4. Sendi Revenue.
5. Sayer.
6. Jungle.

C. Slatisties.
1. Asali Village ... 1.
2. Dakhalies ... ... 3.

Tirukagowdana Koppal ... 1.
Honnegowdana Koppal ... 1.
and Sreenivasapura depopulated. 1.
3

3. Gokattes ... ... 6.
4. Ponds... ... ... 6.
5. Potwells ... ... 2.
6. Devastan ... ... 1.
7. Tope ... ... 1.
8. Nale (Chikkadevaraya) ... 1.
9. Houses, Tiled, Thakhed ... 95.
48 47.

10. Population.

Men.	Boys.	Women.	Girls.	
126	65	123	58.	362.

11. Cattle ... ... 682.

D. Boundaries are to be fixed by the Survey Department.

(Signed). SYED NASERUDEEN.

12th September, 1879.

Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.

COLUMN 20.

---

1. I have examined the Sannad. It is said that the original grantee, had asked for the two villages in lieu of 2 others, which had been resumed, and had said that they had not the means of Meeting their expenses attending their performance of the " Somayaga" and other ceremonies. In the granting of the villages however no conditions of such services are made, and in the concluding portion in HIS HIGHNESS' own hand he merely directs that the villages were to be enjoyed in hereditary succession on Survamanya tenure.

2. No powers of alienation are conveyed in the Sannad.

(Signed) ROBERT COLE,

Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

MYSORE,
28th August, 1879.

3. The formation of the Agrahar was a private Act of the grantee, to which we have nothing to say. He or his successors can annul the subsequent grants made by him, if the Agrahardars do not act up to their part of the undertaking to form such an Agrahar.

4. The village cannot be confirmed free, merely because they decline enfranchisement. The village must be confirmed on 1-8 quit-rent and remain unenfranchised.

5. Confirmed on 1-8 quit-rent Rs. 390, as ad-interim, subject to all Local Fund and Irrigation Cesses.

6. The holders having declined enfranchisement, no alienations can in future be allowed. Send orders so to the Amil.

7. An receipt of a reply to a recent reference to the Inam Commissioner, the alienated portions may become subject to their share of the quit-rent, as compulsory.

8. The items of Sayer and Toddy are conceded as per the Sannad.

9. All rights to Abkary and Forests are reserved.

10. A valuation Survey is desired.

(Signed) ROBERT COLE,

Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

CAMP AT JAMBORE BANE,
26th December, 1879.

Amil written to dated 21st January, 1880.

" Copy"

(Signed). M. GRANT,

Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

BANGALORE,
July, 1881.

Jamabundy Proceedings on the Survamannya Village of Vadayandahalli, Arakere Hobli Seringapatam Taluk.

Number of Holders.	Name of the Holders.	Extent.			Assessment.			Amildars Opinion.	Jamabundy Officers Order.
29 Holders.	Sedday Gowda Mari Gowda and others.	15 Candies.	6 Kolga.	3½ Bolla.	178	4	10	The village of Vadayanda holli has been a Survamanyam to Sree Dharachar. Jamabundy Officer's order No. 509 dated 27th December, 1883 regarding the levying of water rate is also applicable to main villages, in as much as it states that the purport of the Dewan's Proceedings on the subject is that a water rate of Rupees 4, is leviable on every leave of such Inam lands as specified below :— (1.) Land that is found in excess over the extent mentioned in the Sannad at the time of of granting the Inam. (2.) The land that was mentioned in the Sannad as under dry cultivation at the time of granting the Inam but subsequently brought under wet cultivation by using the water of Government Channels and Tanks. The order above referred to also enjoins that the extent of the land converted into wet be measured, only if the context of the Sannad permits the levying of a water rate. Previous references show that the wet lands measured 152 Kandies 10 Kolgas 2¾ Ballas and ¾ Manas in the Paimaisbs made in the year Krodhi subsequent to the date of issue of the Sannad. Sooranaraniah Shanbouge of the village has produce a copy of the Sannad and a test shewing in detail the extent of wet land under each holder. By a comparison it may be observed that an extent of land measuring 15 Candies 6 Kolagas and ¾ Pallas which was originally under dry cultivation has since been brought under wet cultivation. It is stated in the copy of the Sannad that the inamdar himself is entitled to all increase revenue under any items named in the Sannad. The Shanbogue alleges that the original Sannad is in the Civil Court. The copy thereof is herewith submitted for reference and issue of instructions for future guidance as to whether the land alleged to be newly brought under wet cultivation be measured again by Paimaishi and water rate levied, or not. The village is irrigated by Chickadevaroya Sager Nalah. The Jaghirdar has been requested to send the original Sannad or an authenticated copy of it. The original has since been produced. (Signed) RAGHAVENDRA ROV, Amildar.	It appears you have not carried out the instructions of the Dewan on the subject. That the allusion to the words in the Sannad to the effect that the Inamdar himself is entitled to all increased revenue seems to apply only to such increased revenue as is brought about without the Government aid. As the Inamdar has taken advantage of Government water for the increased revenue a water rate of Rs. 4, should be levied as per Dewan's Proceedings, after measuring land newly brought under wet cultivation be measured. (Sd.) Jamabundy Officer in English.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 955

dated 27-8-95 received at the

Dewan's Office from Ranga Rama Murusimhaiah Ford Mysore, holder of a share in the Sarvamanyam villages of Vadigondahalli and Bellekere in the Seringapatam Taluk, praying for the cancellation of the alienation of certain share in the villages by the holders thereof is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commr of the Mysore District for favour of report. The petitions referred to in para 6 of the Petition were forwarded to the Dy. Commr. for information and needful, Vide Official Memo No 1158 15-6-92.

2 The petition should be returned

Draft

By Order,

25/10/95

Revenue Chief Secretary

BANGALORE, 25-10-1895

29

251

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000

No. 1469
Enclosures No. Three petitions & records

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 9th June 1896.

9282
pet 800

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your official memorandum No. Pet. 847 dated the 25th October 1895, forwarding for report the petition of Ranga Ramanarasimhachar residing in the fort of Mysore praying for the cancellation of the sale by a civil court of certain shares in the Sarvamanyam villages of Vadiyandahalli and Ballekere in the Seringapatam taluk, I beg to submit herewith copy of letter No. 832 dated the 9th February 1896, received from the Sub-Division Officer of French Rocks, fully reporting on the case.

2. The petition received with the memorandum under reply as well as the two petitions* forwarded with your official memorandum No. 115* dated 15th June 1892 referred to in it and the vernacular

* vide R.M. 558-91-92 Sl. 1.
* vide R.M. 558-91-92 Sl. 2

correspondence on the subject are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

Compd.

No. 832
Cen. file.

Sub-Division Office, French Rocks,
Dated French Rocks 9th February
1896.

From

The Sub-Division Officer
of French Rocks.

To

The Dy. Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 230 dated 19th November 1895 re. petition of Ranga Rama Narasimhachar, Asst, Mysore, holder of a share in the Sarvamanya villages of Vadayanda: halli and Balle Kere, I have the honor to submit the following report.

2. The proprietary right of the Sarvamanya Vadayandahalli and Ballekere villages in the Seringapatam taluk is held by Ranga Venkata Narasimhachar, Ranga Raghavendra Nara: simhachar, Ranga varaha Narasimhachar and Ranga Rama Narasimhachar (petitioner) as cosharers. After the demise of the first two cosharers who were managing the estate in the order of their succession, Ranga Rama Nara: simhachar succeeded to the managership of

the villages. He failed to discharge his duties as manager withholding payment of share due to other cosharers and to Government and thus caused the villages to be encumbered. The heirs of the first two cosharers and the third cosharer complained of this to the Deputy Commissioner who during the jamabandi in 1893 made enquiries regarding the alleged mismanagement and finding the complaint was well founded ordered the removal of Ranga Rama Narasimhachar and suggested the appointment of Ranga Sreedhara Narasimhachar son of the second cosharer Ranga Raghavendra Narasimhachar as manager of the estate at the instance of the first cosharer's wife Venkatalakshamamma and third co-sharer Ranga Varaha Narasimhachar's alien Yellappa on their agreeing to free the estate from encumbrance by paying the Government dues and rendering the prescribed account and furnishing security for the efficient discharge of duty by Ranga Sreedhara Narasimhachar.

3. In giving effect to the above orders of the Deputy Commissioner, the Amildar appointed Ranga Sreedhara Narasimhachar as manager

obtaining muchelikas from first co-sharers wife Venkatalakshamamma's father Purna: :char and third cosharer Ranga Varaha Nara: :simhachar's alien Yellappa for the due executio: of the Office by Ranga Sreedhara Narasimha :char.

4. Now the first cosharers wife Venkata :lakshamamma and third cosharer Ranga Varaha Narasimhachar's alien complain that Ranga Sreedhara Narasimhachar, the present manager is mismanaging the estate and has allowed it to fall into arrears both to Gove: :ment and the other cosharers and has not maintained or rendered the prescribed ac: :counts.

5. The Amildar verifies the above com: :plaint. The accounts of the Inam villages are still due. Ranga Sreedhara Narasimha char has failed to act up to the terms of the muchelika. Rangavaraha Narasimhachar has forfeited his share in the Inam village under a civil court decree and one Yellappa as purchaser of it at the court sale has stepped into his place.

6. The first cosharers wife Venkatalak: shamamma and Yellappa, throughout called

as third cosharer Rangavaraha Narasimachari alien have applied for a partition of the estate, to do which (1) all the cosharers should agree as to the extent of their respective rights in the villages and (2) the assessment of the shares should exceed one half of the assessment of the entire villages.

7. The first of these two conditions is negatived as second cosharer's son Ranga Sreedhar Narasimhachar and 4th cosharer Ranga Rama Narasimhachar as mismanagers of the estate (being both in collusion) would not agree to the partition and the second condition though worth some consideration is not, in my humble opinion, suggestive in the long run as any attempt at splitting is productive of more harm than good.

8. I would, under the circumstance, recommend for the favorable consideration of the Deputy Commissioner that an agent in the person entirely unconnected with the estate may be appointed by Government to manage the affairs of the estate and thus secure to the Inamdars the villages from deterioration which, it would otherwise occur, were the estate left

in

in the co sharers hands as, as so far as I know of this Inam, it has been granted to a respectable family who claim direct connection with the late Executive Head of the administration Mr. Purnaiya.

9. Petitioner Renga Rama Narasimhachar is encumbered with debts. His share in the estate has been sold by civil court under its decree The civil records are in civil court. He now seeks to impugn the sale by invoking the interference of Government.

10. The petition and its enclosures received with the docket above quoted and records connected with the case are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be, &c.
To P. Ramchandra Rao
Sub-Division Officer of French Rocks

Copy.

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

Compd:
MCC.

Rev: Mis. P. 77 pp 7-98

Serial 4

To 84

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

No. 2447/P381. Dated 2nd September 1896

His letter no 1469 dated 9th June 1896 reporting on the petition of Runga Ramanarasimhachar of Mysore praying for the cancellation of the sale by a Civil Court of certain shares in the Sarvamanyam villages of Vadiyandahalli & Balleken in the Seringapatna Taluk

With reference to the above has the honour to observe that the Inam in question is said to be an unenfranchised one and that if that is the case the sale to Yellappa will have to be treated as invalid or the portion of the Inam in his possession will have to be assessed fully and to invite the attention of the Dy Commr to this aspect of the question to which he has not referred in his above letter and Requests that the Dy Comr will be so good as to submit a further report in the matter with reference to this aspect of the question.

By order

Draft C 2-9-96.

Secretary

Rev: Mis P. 77 of 97-98
Serial 5

23

Item Page 30

(8)

MYSORE

8/7 dl 5

To

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore

No. 2448
P. 382

Dated 2nd/3rd Sept. 1896

Has the honour to request that he will be so good as to forward 5 Copies of Judgments in the marginally noted cases for this Office's reference in connection with a petition from Ranga Rama Narasimhachar of Mysore praying for the cancellation of the ~~sale by a Civil Court~~ attachment of certain shares in the Servemanyam villages of Vadiyandahalli & Balleke in the Seringapatna Taluk on the ground that the Inam is not saranjamised.

~~a copy of the judgments passed by the Chief Court in a Civil Case connected with the mismanagement of the villages above referred to.~~

1. Yallappa & Ranga Varaha Narsimhachar ~~and others~~
2. Ranga Rama Narsimhachar & others & Yallappa.

By order
Q 2-9-96
Draft
E
for Secretary
1/9

Xn
1-9-96
sw
3/9

N 1470/69 for one

Rev: Mis. P. 778 of 97-98
Serial 6

31

2290
J 322

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

2023
Rel-189 Bangalore, 5th September 1896.

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

As requested in your No. 2443 / Rel- 382 dated 2nd Instant, I am directed to forward copy of Judgment in Second Appeals Nos. 28 and 34 of 1893-94, on the file of this Court.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

D Rajalatchmanaiah
Registrar.

Rel 6

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

In the Chief Court of Mysore at Bangalore

Friday the 16th day of February 1894.

Present

Rajah Dharma Pravina V. K. A. Thumboo Chetty Esquire, chief judge

and

A. Ramachandra Iyar Esquire, judge.

Second Appeals Nos. 28, 34 and 35 of 1893-94.

In Second Appeal No. 28 of 1893-94.

Rungarama Narasimhachar — Appellant — (1st Deft.)

vs

Yellappah — Respondent — (Plaintiff)

In Second Appeal No. 34 of 1893-94,

Yellappah — Appellant (Plaintiff)

vs

Rungarama Narasimhachar — Respdt. (1st Deft.)

In Second Appeal No. 35 of 1893-94,

Venkata Lakshmamma — Appellant (Plaintiff)

vs

Rungarama Narasimhachar — Respondent (Defendant)

Second appeals against the decrees of the District Judge of Mysore

in

on Appeals Nos. 233/— and 207 of 1892, af-
firming the decrees of the Court of the
Munsiff of Mysore, in Original Suits
Nos. 713 and 408 of 1891.

These second appeals coming
on for hearing this day, the Court
pronounced the following

Order:

The facts which have been found
by the Courts below are not sufficient
to enable us to dispose of the points in
controversy. It is to be regretted that
the District Judge who directed the
Munsiff to make further investiga-
tion did not consider the bearing of
the finding upon the first judgment
which was passed by the Munsiff. We
wish to have distinct findings upon
the following points.

(1) What was the total collection
made by the defendant before the
date of each suit and before the
date of the decree in each suit?

(2) What was the arrear due

to

to the Inamdars?

(3) Whether the toddy revenue had or had not been collected?

(4) What was the total expenditure?

(5) What was the sum left for division among the Inamdars?

The District Judge will submit his findings on or before 28th Instant.

We have reserved our Judgment in Second appeal 28 of 1893-94.

Monday the 6th day of August 1894.

In Second Appeals Nos. 28 and 34 of 1893-94.

Judgment.

The questions for decision in these appeals relate

1st, to the validity of the Court Sale, under which certain unenfranchised Inam villages passed to Plaintiff.

2ndly. to the amount of rents

to

to which Plaintiff is entitled for the year Vikruthi (1890-91), on account of the 1/4 share in the villages purchased by Plaintiff.

The first question was fully considered and decided in Appeal No. 90 of 1888. See 11 Mysore Law Reports, page 177. That was a decision between these parties and is binding on this Court. The matter is res judicata.

As regards the 2nd question we find, for reasons stated in our judgment in Second appeal No. 35 of 1893-94, that plaintiff in this suit is entitled to the same relief, which we granted to the plaintiff in the other suit. The decree will be drawn up accordingly.

As plaintiff has not wholly succeeded, we direct that he pay his own costs in connection with his appeal No. 34. We dismiss the Defendants' appeal No. 28 with costs.

A true copy

[signature]

Registrar.

[signature]

No. 851
Enclosures No. three files

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 16th November 1896.

847 3363
pet. 283

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

not traced.

Adverting to your docket No. 2447 P. 381 dated the 2nd September 1896 and reminder No. Pet X 549 of the 30th idem, observing that, as the sale to Yellappa of certain portions of the Sarvamanya villages of Vadaycan = dahalli and Bellekere has to be treated as invalid inasmuch as the inam villages are unenfranchised, such portions have to be fully assessed, I beg to state that there has been no actual division of land between the sharers to allow of any particular portion being assessed. It is understood that Yellappa

has

has been enjoying a portion of the re=
venue of the villages as Judgment
creditor and purchaser at the
Court sale.

2. The records connected with
appeal Nos. $\frac{230}{233}$ and 207 of 1892
in the file of the District Judge of
Mysore required in your docket
No. $\frac{Pet}{549}$ dated the 30th September 1896
are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be.
Sir.
Your most obdt Servant.

M. K. Venkata Sastry

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

Compd.
Tdy.

Rev: Mis. P. 77 of 97-98

Serial 8

(General Serial No. 30.)

29.30
38

1897.

(12)

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Superintendent of Enamdallam Division

Dated — 30th January 1897

Despatched 4th Feb:

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 6858

P. No. 1212

Has the honor & request that he will be good enough & forward all the papers connected with the Inam investigation of the Vedayandahalli and Ballikere villages Sirangapatam Taluk.

By order

For Secretary

Draft

Rev: Mis. P. 778 of 97-98

Serial 19

Aw 17-2-97

Pesh

Subd

(B)

From

RECEIVED IN THE OFFICE OF THE 1897 SUPERINTENDENT OF MYSORE INAM SETTLEMENTS

Gl. No. 5461

Pet 509

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Department

Dated 16
Despatched 17 } February
Received

No. 64 of 1896-97

Enclosure No. One bundle as per Genl. patti.

His Docket No. 6,858 dated
S. No. 1212
30th Ultimo.

I have the honor to forward herewith, as per the accompanying Genl. patti, all the papers connected with the Inam Settlement of the Vadayandahalli and Ballikere villages, Seringapatna Taluk, as requested for in the docket under reply, and to request that they may be returned when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Supdt of Inam Settlements

Rep[illegible]

Rev: Mis: P. 778 of 97-98 Ld 3.

Serial 10

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Gen. 31]

189

From

To The Dy. Commr. Mysore Dt.

Dated 29th March/97

Despatched 5 April

Received

General No. 8664

P. No. 1466

Correspondence ending with

Enclosure No.

His letter No: 831 dated 16th November 1896, reporting on the petition of Rangarama Narasimhachar, regarding the alienation to one Yellappa of one-fourth of the unenfranchised Inam villages of Vadyendahalli and Bellikere, Seringapatam Taluk, by one of the Vrittidars

---

With reference to the above has the honour to request, that the alienee (Yellappa) may be served with a notice to show cause why full assessment should not be levied on the 1/4th portion alienated to him, and that any representation he may make

3rd The subject may be submitted to Govt.

2. The Inamdars entitled to the other three portions shall be similarly served with a notice to show cause why the full assessment due on the said 1/4th portion should not be added on to the quit rent and the whole levied from all of them jointly as the village is undivided and joint though the absentee will be primarily responsible to pay this amount from his share. Their representations should also be sent up with any remarks which the Deputy Commissioner may wish to make.

3. If the villages have been surveyed and settled all the relevant statistics should be submitted.

"By order"

C2 Disp.

Assistant Secretary.

SKR

C/ mistamped

Serial // 1898

2/ ader complained of not produced

No. 2781.

Name of Petitioner Ranga Rama Rama Sarvanamyandar of Char

Village Vadiyandahally & Bellake-

Taluk Hosk

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 10-5-98

Date of Receipt 12-5-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

14.5.98

There are Rgs in this case

= Put them up

14/5/98 x original copy of the petition with the petitioner coming

Read 16/5/98 To Ver: Records for compliance

16/5/98

17/5/98 To Revenue Branch for disposal on the subject

17/5/98 A K Dyer

Please put up letter No 9510 & P. 1594 28-4-98 to expedite Inam Settlements with file.

17/5/98 Pet & S. 4-98 & Pet 2369 are put up

17/5/98 The above rep. are put up

18/5/98 Open file please 19/5/98

Open file made

19/5/98 Linked the V. Records & D Comm

20/5/98 Mly Send the V. Records to Dy Comm Hosk with the Petr's Letter & Petn & Lr. No. 2369 dated 4-5-98 from the Petr & the action taken thereon by this office may be reported

ABSTRACT

States that Vadiyandahally & Bellakere of Sreerangapatana Taluk were granted by His Highness the late Krishnaraja Wadiar Bahadur as Sarvamanyam to petitioner's ancestors - that ancestors gave a few Vrithes to some Brahmins on condition that they should not alienate the same - that the Survey authorities declined to interfere at the time of settlement on the ground that the Inamdar was at liberty to attack the Vrithes as it was the grant that was to be attacked

the Vrithdans are now living
in Sreerangapatam taking
having taken large loans
from the Agricultural Bank
on the hypothecation of the above
said Vrittis; that if, at the
time of the grant of the loan,
the authorities concerned had
carefully inspected the property
such heavy advances would not
have been granted to them; and
that if the Inamdar insists upon ~~Enforces~~ the observance
the terms of the Sanad the Govt
would sustain loss—

Prays that, on a consideration
of the circumstances, the Govt:
may adopt measures to recover
the loan granted to the Vrithdars
other
their property may be attached
towards the amounted paid
to them & the Vrithis released
from the present Encumbrances.

1.5.98

R.M.P. 77 of 97-98

Serial No. 13 1898 S/12

43 43

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

From

The Secretary to the Govt
Genl & Rev. Depts

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 25th May 1898

Despatched 25

Received

General No. 11140 P

P.P. No. 77-97

(1427)

Enclosure No.

Returning

~~Has the honor to~~ ~~forward~~

herewith the vernacular records ~~relating~~ received with his letter No 831 of 16/5/98 to the Gram Villages of Vadayandahalli and Bellekere in the Seringapatam Taluk together with a petition No 2761 of 10-5-98 received in this office from Ramu Rama Narsimachar, shareholder of the ~~above villages~~, ~~and~~ has the honour to request that he will be so good as to report the action taken by him under this office docket No 6664 dated P.1466

Vadayandahalli and Bellekere villages in the Seringapatam Taluk

+ Dept. E. Accts
25-5-98

~~ES~~ 44

29th March 1897.

"By order

'Dft'

For Secy

21/5/98



R.M. P. 197 of 97-98 (20)

Serial No. 12 1901-902

35

45

Genl Records.

Please put up appl.

Memo No: Pet 1258 dt 5-4-98

entr file agt 2 to enclosure

All
10-9-01

There is a slip in the bundle to show that the file Connected with Memo No Pet 1258 dt 5/4/98 was put up with Petition No 2781 dt 10/5/98 from Rang Rama Narsimha Char

All
10/9/01

RJ
17.9.01

10/9/01

Judicial 387

To. Ver. Dft for Kind Compliance

All
10-9-01

10-9-01

20-5-98 & 11140 & 77-97

20/9/01

Rd 21-9-01.

Genl. Records.

Please put up Dft 77/97

All
21-9-01

File regd first up

All
21/9/01

RJ
21/9/01

Please see Ex. Dy 21 Sd/- Commissioner

All
21-9-01

Submitted to office note cond

C.R. BETHAMANGALA
3334
9-9-01

Pet 172.

From Ramanuja Iyengar Esq
The Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated 7th
Despatched } September 1901
Received

Enclosures No. One Cover file

General No. 226

Soliciting sanction to take the two Sarvamanyam villages of Vadayandahalli and Balekere in the Seringapatam Taluk under Government management.

Peti
9.9.01

5 ~~243~~ 36

No. 226 OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

Enclosures No. 1 Verflé MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated 7th September 1901.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. M.L
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum no: Pet-I* 1258 dated 5th April 1898, forwarding for disposal a petition from Chicka Dyave Gowda, and others of the Sarvamanyam villages of Vadayanda-halli, and Balekere, in the Seringapatam Taluk, praying that the two villages may be taken under Government management so as to relieve petitioners from the oppression of the Inamdars, I have the honor to submit the accompanying copy of the French Rocks Sub Division officer's letter no: 69 dated 15th july 1901, together with

* not traced

ceived. It will be observed that the old factions spirit still subsists in the village, and that the Inamdars are unable to keep their agents under control or to take the management into their own hands. Arrears to the extent of Rs 1069-9-10 due to Government, on account of local cess &c up to the end of Sarvari, in respect of the 2 Inam villages in question, remain unpaid and it is difficult to say how much of this has been appropriated by the agents themselves Under these circumstances, the realization of the arrears by the attachment and sale of the Inamdar's personal and other movable property would in my humble opinion appear as a great hardship on them. It is desirable therefore in the interest of

40 of 36 the Inamdars no less than those of the Government, to take the two villages under the Government management as provided in sections 165, to 169 of the Mysore Revenue Code, and I solicit Government sanction to the same being done.

The petition received with official memorandum under reply is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt servant

For Offg Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

HPG

No 69
Enclosure 1 file

Sub Division office
French Rocks
Dated 15/7 July 1901.

From

K. S. Doraswami Iyer Esq
Sub-Division officer
French Rock

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District — Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 378, dated 12-4-98, forwarding for disposal, petition (Registered No 2369, dated 19-3-1898, * herewith returned) from Chik Dyavegowda and others of Sarvamanya Villages of Vadayandahalli and Ballekeri in the Sreerangapatam Taluk, praying for the villages being taken under Government management and with reference to the correspondence (herein enclosed), ending with Seringapatam Amildar's report No 262/1479, dated 19-6-1901, also recommending that the said villages be taken under Government management, I have the honor to state as follows:—

Late

Late Sreedharachar was the Inamdar of unenfranchased whole villages of Vadayandahally, and Ballekere each yielding Revenue of Rs 2801-12-0, and Rs 810/- respectively. The Inams are now in the enjoyment of the late Inamdar's descendants — Ranga Sreedhara Narasimhachar, Ranga Rama Narasimhacharry, and Their elders brother's widow Venkata Lakshmamma. The Share of another brother Ranga Varaha Narasimhachar which had gone to one Yellappa, is also now held by Venkata Lakshamma in addition to her late husband's Share.

Owing to misunderstandings between the Inamdars, Venkata Lakshamma appointed as her agent one Chennegowda of Arakere and the other two Viz. Ranga Sreedhara Narasimhachar and Ranga Rama Narasimhachar appointed the deceased Battiah of the same Village. These two agents began to collect rent from the raiyots and apropriated the same towards loans alleged to have been advanced by them to the Inamdars, without paying anything either to the Inamdars or

or towards the Government dues.

Arrears due to Government on the two Villages up to the end of Sarvari is Rs 1069-9-10. This remains undisbursed, owing apparently not to any wilful default on the part of the Inamdars but to their inability to take up the management into their own hands, by keeping their so-called agents out of the villages. It is brought to my notice that the Inamdars are also unable to get these agents to account to them for moneys recovered and appropriated. It would seem that the Village accounts are in the Custody of these men and the Inamdars are powerless to obtain the same into their hands.

The enmity between Chenne-Gowda and the late Battiah which led to the murder of the latter is a notorious fact, and there is reason to think that the factious spirit in the Villages maintained for a long series of years, has not ceased with the death of Battiah and that Battiah's brothers are coming into prominence and would possibly take Battiah's place in the future. It would be a

wise step accordingly to contrive some arrangement which should deprive these men of any power to interfere with the affairs of these Villages.

In these circumstances, I would strongly recommend that the two unenfranchised whole villages of Vadayandahally and Ballakeré may be taken under Government management. This would conduce to the interests of the Inamdars as well as to the Security of peace in the village.

The usual statement prepared in Form 5 appendix J. is submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
Sd. K. Doraswami Iyer.
Sub Division Officer
French Rocks.

'Copy'

5th Sept 1901
Mysore.

for Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

R.M. P 11064/1-98

Serial No 14 1901-902

Genl. Records

G.O. No. 8664 of 29-3-97
P.1466
entd file. agd &.

3610
17-9-01 Rec'd 123

From H. Ramaiyangar Esq

The Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District

14

To H. Ramindarya Sogami Jun.
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated 11
Despatched 14.
Received
} September 1901

Enclosures No. One Vernacular

General No. 246

Stating that there is no necessity to go into the question of the levy of full assessment on the ¼ portion of the inembrancheed Inam villages of Yadaguru Daballi and Billekere in the Seringapatam Taluk.

Ag
18-9-01

The reference required was made to Petition No 2781 & 10/5/98 from Raja Rama Narsimha Char of Mysore.

Ag. 20/9/01

20/9/01 Ver. Records

Please put up Petn
Inam 373 No. 2781 & 10-5-98 entd file
Ag
20-9-01

B.T. 77 of 97 containing
aforesaid petn. Please see
Ver. No. 14.)

Ag
21-9-01
Subject to office
note returned

Ag 24/9/01

Petn
14-9-01

R 17-9-01

246 54

No. 246

Enclosures No. 1 Verfile.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Mysore 11th September 1901.

To H. V. Nanjundiyya Esquire M.A.M.L.
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your docket no. 8664/P.1466 dated 29th March, 1897, anent levy of full assessment on the 1/4th portion of the unenfranchised Inam villages of Vadayandahalli and Bellikere, alienated to one Yellappa, I have the honor to submit the accompanying copies of the marginally noted communications received from the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks.

From the papers it will be observed that, as one of the Inamdars, viz Venkatalakshmma has since purchased the portion of the Inam villages, said to have been alienated to Yellappa, there is no necessity

1 Letter no. 668 dated 21. 2. 1901
1 docket no. 182 of 27th August 1901.

now to go into the question of the levy of full assessment on the one-fourth portion of the Inam villages, under reference.

The relevant survey accounts of the village received with the Sub-division officer's docket No. 182, of the 27th August, 1901, are herewith submitted together with the file of vernacular papers on the subject.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most obedient Servant

[signature]

Asst. to Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

NLG

Vol 8 & 14 68

French Rocks Sub Division Office

No. 668 Dated 14th February 1901.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 359 of 19th April 1897, I have the honor to state that notices were served on the alienee Yallappah and the Inamdars to shew cause why full assessment should not be levied on 1/4th portion of the Inam villages of Vadarayandahally and Ballekere with the result that no representation has been submitted by any of them.

It is now reported by the Amildar that Venkatalakshamma widow of Ranga Venkata Narasimhachar one of the Inamdars has purchased the alienat

~~58~~
49

portion and hence the reason for the levy of full assessment on the ¼th portion has vanished.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most obedient Servant

Sd/ N. Venkadarao

Subdivision Officer

French Rocks

From,

P. Srinivasa Rao, Esquire
Assistant Commissioner in charge
of French Rocks Sub Division

To.

K. Ramanujacharlu Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 29th August 1901.
2nd September 1901.

No. 182

Enclosure No Two files

This docket No. 290 dated 7th March 1901 calling for certain Statistics on the unenfranchised Sarvamanya villages of Vodayandahalli and Ballekere in the Seringapatam Taluk.

In reply to the above the undersigned has the honor to forward herewith two statements

shewing

51

52

shewing the required statistics prepared with reference to the accounts of Revenue Survey which was introduced in the year 1896 - 1897.

The Government dues on these two villages are also noted in the remarks — Column of the statements.

The enclosures received with the above together with the records ending with Seringapatam Amildar's report 71 of 16th July 1901 are herewith resubmitted.

Sd/ P. Greenaway

Sub Division Officer

French Rocks

Copies

[illegible]

for Off: Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Form No 12

General Abstract of lands and Revenue or "jamabandy" Kammi joshi Goshwara of the Unenfranchised Sarvamanya Balekere village in the Seringapatam Taluk.

Items.	1896-1897 Area	1896-1897 Assessment	1897-1898 Area	1897-1898 Assessment	Decrease Area	Decrease Assessment	Increase Area	Increase Assessment	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Total area according to Form No I	1484 36	810 ..	1484 36	810 0	- -	- -	- -	- -	
Deduct A. G.									
Village site 10. 29									
Roads and pathways 39. 19									
Ditch and (Hallas) ~~85. 35~~ 45-16									
Tanks " "									
Phool-Kharab 19. 28									
Gomal 346. 9									
Village site &c " "									
Katte 5. 35									
Burning ground . 22									
Devasthan 3. 14									
Tope - -									
Stone 1. 24									
Grazing land 13. 3									
Total 485. 39									
Assessed land { Garden	18 34	63 4	18 34	63 4					
Assessed land { Dry	963 34	675 8	963 34	675 8					
Assessed land { wet.	16 9	71 4	16 9	71 4					
Total	998 37	810 -	998 37	810 -					

For 1900-1901

Government dues with reference to the Revised Rent Registers

Local cess	50 - 0 - 8	
Irrigation cess	4 - 7 - 3	
Total	54 - 7 - 11	

6C

Deduct Inam																		
Garden	.	26	2	4	.	26	2	4										
Dry	30	1	20	8	30	1	20	8										
Wet	.	.	.	.	-	-	-	-										
Total	30	27	22	12	30	27	22	12										
Balance																		
Garden	18	8	61	.	18	8	61	.										
Dry	933	33	655	.	933	33	655	.										
Wet	16	9	71	4	16	9	71	4										
Total	968	10	787	4	968	10	787	4										
Deduct waste lands																		
Garden	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.										
Dry	36	37	22	8	36	37	22	8										
Wet	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.										
Total	36	37	22	8	36	37	22	8										
Remainder of occupied lands.																		
Garden	18	8	61	.	18	8	61	.										
Dry	896	36	632	8	896	36	632	8										
wet	16	9	71	4	16	9	71	4										
Total	931	13	764	12	931	13	764	12										
Deduct Remissions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
Balance	931	13	764	12	931	13	764	12										
Mohatarfa	-	-	45	-	-	-	45	-										
Toddy Revenue			67	8	-	-	67	8										
Ground Total	931	13	877	4	931	13	877	4										

Sub Division office
French Rocks

Sub Division officer
French Rocks.

12 9

Form No 12

General Abstract of lands and Revenue, or "Jamabandy Kammi jatti Goshwara" of the Unenfranchised Devarmanya Vodayondahalli village in the Seringapatam Taluk.

Item	1896-1897		1897-1898		Decrease		Increase		Remarks
	Area	Assessment	Area	Assessment	Area	Assessment	Area	Assessment	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Total area according to Form No 1	1248 13	2801 12	1248 13	2801 12	- -	- -	- -	- -	
Deduct	449 21	- -	449 21	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	
A. G.									
Village site 8 . 22									
Roads and pathways 15 - 31									
Ditch (Halla) 47 - 33									
Tank .. 2									
Stone									
Phool-Kharab 12 . 23									
Gomal 358 . 2									
Village site &c 2 . 38									
Katte . 37									
Burning ground . 15									
Devasthan . 9									
Tope 2 11									
449 - 21									
Assessed lands { Garden	29 7	165 ..	29 7	165 .					
Dry	278 5	212 4	278 5	212 4					
Wet	491 20	2424 8	491 20	2424 8					
Total	798 32	2801 12	798 32	2801 12					

Government dues for 1900-1901 with reference to the revised Quit Rent Register - - -

Total - received in the Taluk office of the Deputy Surveyor and Sub-Registrar of Seringapatam for the year 1900-1901.

	Rs.	as.	ps.
Total assessment on 798 32 of Wet & Dry land	125	1	5
Local rate	141	8	9
Education Cess	183	0	4
Irrigation Cess	161	9	7
Total	610	0	0

* Represents the Survey assessment on 9 Inams viz 155 Communal Inams and 1-38 included in the Village site &c

Total 621 - 4 - 1

Government dues for 1900-1901 with reference to the Inam settlement.

Deduct Inam								
Garden	-	8	-	8	-	8	-	8
Dry	10	31	8	12	10	31	8	12
Wet	107	5	540	-	107	5	540	-
Total	118	4	549	4	118	4	549	4
Balance								
Garden	28	39	164	8	28	39	164	8
Dry	267	14	203	8	267	14	203	8
Wet	384	15	1884	8	384	15	1884	8
Nirsaradi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	680	28	2252	8	680	28	2252	8
Deduct waste lands.								
Garden	-	26	3	8	-	26	3	8
Dry	2	37	2	0	2	37	2	0
Wet	-	13	1	12	-	13	1	12
Total	3	36	7	4	3	36	7	4
Remainder of occupied lands								
Garden	28	13	161	0	28	13	161	0
Dry	264	17	201	8	264	17	201	8
Wet	384	2	1882	12	384	2	1882	12
Total	676	32	2245	4	676	32	2245	4
Deduct Remissions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance	676	32	2245	4	676	32	2245	4
Mohatarfa	-	-	15	-	-	-	15	-
Toddy Revenue	-	-	67	8	-	-	67	8
Grand Total	676	32	2327	12	676	32	2327	12

Sub Division office
Tenkasi Rocks

Sub division officer
Tenkasi Rocks

Serial No 15 Two Nos appended 56

Proc: of the Govt of Mysore

A 15

Read:-

Petn No 955 dy 27-8-95 from Ranga Rama Narasimha Char, Fort Mysore, a share holder in the Sarvamanyam villages of Vadiyandahalli and Ballikere in the Seringapatam Taluk praying for the cancellation of the alienation of certain shares in the said villages by the holders thereof

2. Correspondence ending with letters Nos 246 and 226, dy 11-9-01 and 7-9-01 respectively from the Dy Commr Mysore submitting the records connected with the case and reporting that the question of levying full assessment on the alienated portion of the Inam village may be dropped in consequence of the said portion having been since purchased by one of the Inamdars and recommending that the said Inam villages might be taken under Govt management under

Draft for Hd Asst
dy Secy
24/12/02

Section 165, L. R. Codes,
the Inamdars being unable
to keep their agents under
control and the arrears
of revenue due by the
Inamdars not being otherwise
easy of realization

Order thereon - No. 1754 / P. N. 77-97 dated 5: 3.02 — Encl 3 files and 1 Statement

The Govt approve of
the proposals of the Dy
Commr

Draft C. Secretary 28/2

To the Dy Commr Dharwar
Dr & Works records

Surveyor
549

24/2

Two Drafts 7-3-1902

955

16

By order

For Secretary

Draft